

Echoes of Ancestry in the Age of AI: Safeguarding Tribal Land Rights Amid a Digital Revolution

Olivia Ruhil



Abstract: As Artificial Intelligence (AI) rapidly transforms governance systems worldwide, its impact on tribal land rights in India brings both hope and concern. This paper delves into the unique challenges and opportunities AI presents for tribal communities, who have historically fought to protect their ancestral lands. AI technologies, like advanced land mapping and automated records management, promise to make land governance more efficient and transparent. Yet, these advancements come with risks, such as data privacy issues, potential cultural erosion, and the fear of repeating past injustices. By exploring India's legal protections, including the Constitution [1], the Forest Rights Act (FRA) [19], and the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act (PESA) [20], this paper emphasises the importance of respecting tribal autonomy and cultural heritage. Real-world examples, such as AI's use in the Digital India Land Records Modernisation Programme, shed light on the balance needed between progress and preservation [7]. Grounded in insights from thinkers like Amartya Sen and Michel Foucault [15], the discussion calls for an approach where AI empowers rather than marginalises, highlighting the need for ethical safeguards, meaningful community involvement, and ongoing oversight. Ultimately, the goal is to ensure that AI becomes a tool for upliftment, enriching tribal communities without compromising their rich cultural identities.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Tribal Land Rights, Cultural Heritage, Data Sovereignty, Forest Rights Act, Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, Ethical AI, Indigenous Communities, Land Governance, Community Empowerment

I. INTRODUCTION

“What happens when centuries-old customs of living in harmony with nature meet the cold calculations of artificial intelligence?” In Plato's Republic, the allegory of shadows and perception alludes to the clash between appearances and reality. Today, this allegory finds new relevance as India's tribal communities, rich in cultural heritage and guardians of the country's most biodiverse regions, encounter a technological revolution driven by AI. This evolution raises a pressing question: Can AI support the rights of tribal societies, or does it risk furthering a legacy of dispossession? India's tribal populations have long struggled for land sovereignty, a struggle etched into the fabric of the nation's legal and cultural landscape.

Manuscript received on 17 November 2024 | Revised Manuscript received on 03 December 2024 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 December 2024 | Manuscript published on 30 December 2024.

*Correspondence Author(s)

Olivia Ruhil*, School of Public Policy, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, India. Email ID: olivia.ruhil21@nludelhi.ac.in, ORCID ID: 0009-0003-5413-0409

© The Authors. Published by Lattice Science Publication (LSP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

The Constitution, particularly Articles 244 and 342 [1], along with the Forest Rights Act (FRA) [19] and the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act (PESA) [20], were designed to safeguard tribal autonomy and land rights [2]. Yet, these legal frameworks have faced continuous tests, seen vividly in landmark rulings like *Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh* [4] and *Orissa Mining Corporation v. Ministry of Environment & Forest* [5]. Despite these protections, tribal communities remain vulnerable to economic and political forces seeking access to their ancestral lands.

In this evolving context, AI offers the potential to reshape land governance. Land mapping technologies promise unparalleled accuracy in demarcating tribal territories, potentially resolving disputes that have persisted for decades. AI could simplify complex administrative processes, making land rights claims more efficient and transparent. However, these advancements are not without consequences. The deployment of AI in land governance introduces concerns about data sovereignty and privacy, echoing global fears about the use of technology to surveil and control rather than empower. The erosion of local knowledge systems and cultural practices, exacerbated by digital interventions, demands scrutiny to ensure that AI serves as an ally, not an adversary, of tribal autonomy.

This paper will navigate these uncharted waters, analysing AI's role through the lenses of law, ethics, and cultural preservation. By focusing on India's unique tribal landscape, this study explores how technology can be ethically integrated to support land rights while safeguarding the cultural and social fabric that has defined these communities for generations.

II. HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

The intricate tapestry of tribal land rights in India is woven from centuries of tradition, colonial interventions, and post-independence legal frameworks. Understanding this historical context is essential to appreciate the challenges and opportunities that Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents in this domain.

A. Colonial Legacy and Post-Independence Reforms

During British colonial rule, policies such as the Permanent Settlement of 1793 disrupted traditional land ownership patterns, often marginalising tribal communities. Post-independence, India sought to rectify these injustices through constitutional provisions and legislative measures. The Constitution of India, under Articles 244 and 342 [1], recognises the unique status of Scheduled Tribes and provides for the administration of



Scheduled Areas through the Fifth and Sixth Schedules.

Here is the continuation of the text with **numeric bracketed citations** incorporated properly:

B. Key Legislations

The Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act (PESA) of 1996 [3] extends self-governance to tribal areas, empowering Gram Sabhas (village assemblies) to manage natural resources and adjudicate disputes. Similarly, the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 (FRA) [19] seeks to correct historical injustices by recognising the rights of forest-dwelling communities over their ancestral lands. However, the implementation of these laws has faced challenges, including bureaucratic inertia and resistance from vested interests.

C. Judicial Interventions

The judiciary has played a pivotal role in upholding tribal land rights. In *Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh* (1997) [5], the Supreme Court ruled that government land in Scheduled Areas cannot be leased to non-tribals for mining operations, reinforcing the inalienability of tribal lands. Similarly, in *Orissa Mining Corporation v. Ministry of Environment & Forest* (2013) [5], the Court upheld the rights of the Dongria Kondh tribe to reject mining activities on their sacred lands, emphasising the importance of consent and cultural preservation.

D. Contemporary Challenges

Despite these legal safeguards, tribal communities continue to face threats from industrialisation, deforestation, and state-led development projects. The advent of AI in land governance introduces new complexities. While AI can enhance efficiency in land records management and dispute resolution, it also raises concerns about data sovereignty [8], privacy, and the potential erosion of traditional knowledge systems. The challenge lies in integrating AI in a manner that respects and reinforces tribal autonomy and cultural heritage [21].

In this context, the insights of scholars like Amartya Sen [7] on justice and development become pertinent. Sen advocates for a development paradigm that expands individuals' capabilities and freedoms rather than merely focusing on economic growth. Applying this perspective, the integration of AI in tribal land governance should aim to enhance the capabilities of tribal communities, ensuring that technological advancements do not undermine their rights but rather contribute to their empowerment.

III. THE ROLE OF AI IN TRIBAL LAND GOVERNANCE

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative tool in land governance, promising advancements in efficiency and transparency. However, when applied to the sensitive and complex context of tribal land rights in India, AI's role must be navigated carefully.

A. Potential Benefits of AI Integration

AI can revolutionise land governance systems by streamlining administrative processes. AI-powered platforms can automate the digitisation of land records and enhance the

precision of land mapping. Machine learning algorithms have the potential to analyse satellite imagery, detecting patterns of encroachment or illegal land use, which can be invaluable in protecting tribal lands [19]. AI's predictive capabilities can also assist in cross-referencing historical land claims, reducing bureaucratic delays and human error, which often plague traditional governance systems.

B. Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Despite AI's potential benefits, significant concerns remain. Data sovereignty is paramount, as collecting and analysing land-related data must be done with tribal consent to respect their autonomy [8]. Additionally, biases embedded in AI algorithms can perpetuate historical injustices if not adequately addressed. As AI systems are trained on existing datasets, any inaccuracies or prejudices in those records could exacerbate inequalities, posing a serious ethical dilemma.

Ethical concerns also include the potential for AI to infringe on the privacy of tribal communities and erode cultural practices [15]. The imposition of AI-driven solutions must not disrupt traditional land demarcation practices or the communal decision-making processes that are vital to tribal societies. These considerations highlight the complexity of AI's role in tribal land governance, which principles of cultural preservation and justice must guide.

IV. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AI IMPLEMENTATION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into tribal land governance in India presents a complex array of ethical considerations. These concerns encompass data privacy, consent, cultural preservation, and the potential for bias within AI systems.

A. Data Privacy and Sovereignty

The deployment of AI technologies necessitates the collection and analysis of extensive data, often including sensitive information about tribal lands and communities. Ensuring the privacy and sovereignty of this data is paramount. The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) reinforces the right of indigenous communities to maintain control over their cultural heritage and traditional knowledge [8]. Similarly, Anaya [16] highlights the importance of recognising indigenous land rights within international legal frameworks to safeguard autonomy and sovereignty [22]. Incorporating AI solutions without explicit consent from these communities risks infringing upon their autonomy and privacy. Therefore, it is essential to establish frameworks that guarantee data protection and uphold the sovereignty of tribal communities.

B. Informed Consent and Community Engagement

The principle of free, prior, and informed consent is a cornerstone in projects affecting indigenous populations. AI initiatives in tribal land governance must involve transparent communication and active participation from the communities concerned. This engagement ensures that AI applications align with the values and needs of the tribes, fostering trust and collaboration. The World Economic Forum [9]



emphasises the importance of responsible AI deployment, advocating for inclusive stakeholder engagement to address ethical challenges.

C. Cultural Preservation and Sensitivity

AI systems, if not carefully designed, may inadvertently undermine or misrepresent tribal cultures. For instance, digitising land records without considering traditional land demarcation practices can lead to conflicts and loss of cultural identity. It is crucial to develop AI applications that respect and integrate indigenous knowledge systems, thereby supporting cultural preservation. Efforts to use AI in preserving indigenous languages and traditions have been explored, showing the potential for technology to aid in cultural preservation when applied thoughtfully [8].

D. Bias and Fairness in AI Systems

AI algorithms are susceptible to biases present in their training data. In the context of tribal land governance, such biases can perpetuate existing inequalities and injustices. For example, if AI systems are trained on data that reflects the historical marginalisation of tribal communities, they may reinforce these patterns. Ensuring fairness requires meticulous attention to the data used and the inclusion of diverse perspectives in AI development. The Indian government's National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence [10] acknowledges these challenges and advocates for ethical AI practices that promote inclusivity and fairness.

E. Legal and Policy Frameworks

The ethical deployment of AI in tribal land governance necessitates robust legal and policy frameworks. Existing laws, such as the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act (PESA) [20] and the Forest Rights Act (FRA) [19], provide a foundation for protecting tribal rights. However, there is a need to update these frameworks to address the unique challenges posed by AI technologies. Developing comprehensive policies that encompass data protection, consent, and cultural sensitivity is essential for the responsible integration of AI. The Telecom Regulatory Authority of India's status paper on AI policies [11] highlights the importance of ethical considerations in AI deployment.

In conclusion, while AI offers significant potential to enhance tribal land governance, its implementation must be guided by ethical principles that prioritise the rights and well-being of tribal communities. This approach ensures that technological advancements contribute positively to the preservation of cultural heritage and the empowerment of indigenous populations.

V. CASE STUDIES: AI APPLICATIONS IN TRIBAL LAND GOVERNANCE

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into tribal land governance in India has been explored through various initiatives, each offering insights into the potential benefits and challenges of such technologies.

A. Digital India Land Records Modernisation Programme (DILRMP)

Launched in 2008, the DILRMP aims to digitise land records across India to enhance transparency and reduce

disputes [18]. In states like Odisha, where a significant tribal population resides, the program has digitised land records, making them more accessible. AI-powered tools help map land boundaries and monitor encroachments using satellite imagery and machine learning algorithms [19]. However, challenges persist, including technical issues and the need for capacity building among local officials. The involvement of local communities in verifying these records has been a key factor in ensuring the technology serves their interests.

B. Use of AI in Forest Rights Act (FRA) Implementation

The FRA of 2006 [19] recognises the rights of forest-dwelling communities over their ancestral lands. AI technologies have been employed to analyse satellite imagery and assist in mapping community forest resources, facilitating the claims process. For instance, in Maharashtra, AI tools have been used to identify forest cover and support community claims. However, the accuracy of AI-generated data and the need for ground verification remain critical concerns.

C. AI in Land Dispute Resolution

AI-driven platforms have been developed to assist in resolving land disputes by analysing legal documents and identifying patterns in case law. These tools aim to expedite the resolution process and reduce the backlog of cases. However, their effectiveness in tribal areas is contingent upon the inclusion of customary laws and practices, which are integral to tribal land governance. Ensuring that AI systems are trained on data that reflects these unique legal frameworks is essential for their success.

D. Challenges and Lessons Learned

The application of AI in tribal land governance has highlighted several challenges:

- The effectiveness of AI systems depends on the quality and inclusivity of the data used. In many cases, data on tribal lands are incomplete or outdated, limiting the utility of AI tools.
- Active engagement with tribal communities is essential. Projects involving communities in the design and implementation phases have been more successful and culturally sensitive.
- Capacity building among local officials and community members is necessary to effectively utilise AI tools. Training programs and resources are essential to bridge the digital divide and ensure equitable access to technology.

In conclusion, while AI offers promising avenues for enhancing tribal land governance, its application must be approached with caution and cultural sensitivity. Lessons from existing initiatives underscore the importance of community involvement, data inclusivity, and capacity building to ensure that AI technologies serve as tools for empowerment rather than instruments of marginalisation.

VI. AI AND THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING TRIBAL LAND RIGHTS IN INDIA

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into tribal land governance introduces complex interactions with



India's existing legal and constitutional framework, which has evolved to protect tribal autonomy and land rights. Understanding how AI can operate within these frameworks is essential to ensure that technological advancements do not undermine the hard-won protections that have long safeguarded tribal communities.

A. Constitutional and Legislative Foundations

The Indian Constitution provides robust protections for tribal communities through provisions such as Articles 244 and 275 [1]. These recognise the unique governance needs of Scheduled Areas and Tribes. The Fifth and Sixth Schedules offer mechanisms for self-governance, ensuring that tribal populations have control over local resources and administrative matters. The Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 (PESA) [20] further empowers Gram Sabhas to manage natural resources, aligning governance structures with traditional tribal practices. These laws highlight the importance of community-based decision-making, which AI must respect and integrate with care.

Similarly, the Forest Rights Act (FRA) of 2006 [19] addresses historical injustices by recognising the land rights of forest-dwelling tribes. It empowers communities to access and manage forest resources based on traditional knowledge. AI has the potential to support this legislation by enhancing the efficiency of land record management and claim verification processes. For instance, machine learning algorithms can analyse satellite imagery to accurately map forest boundaries, helping to uphold the rights granted under the FRA [19]. However, such technological interventions must be carefully managed to ensure they do not inadvertently disenfranchise communities or misinterpret local land demarcation practices.

The Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Resettlement Act of 2013 [6] introduces additional complexities. This Act mandates fair compensation and rehabilitation for those affected by land acquisition, with special provisions for tribal communities. AI applications in land acquisition processes, such as predictive modelling to determine land value or automated assessments of displacement impacts, must be transparent and ethical. There is a risk that AI could expedite land acquisition in ways that favour state or corporate interests, bypassing the consent processes enshrined in the law.

B. Judicial Precedents and AI's Role

Indian courts have played a crucial role in interpreting laws related to tribal land rights, setting important precedents that any AI intervention must consider. Landmark cases like *Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh* (1997) [4] reaffirmed that tribal land cannot be leased to non-tribals or private companies for commercial exploitation, emphasising the inalienability of such land. Similarly, *Orissa Mining Corporation v. Ministry of Environment & Forest* (2013) [5] underscored the necessity of obtaining free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC) from tribal communities for projects affecting their lands. AI technologies, if leveraged thoughtfully, could streamline the FPIC process by ensuring comprehensive and transparent community consultations. However, they also carry the risk of automating consent procedures in ways that could undermine genuine community participation.

C. Ethical and Cultural Considerations

The deployment of AI in tribal land governance raises ethical and cultural concerns. Tribal communities have rich cultural practices and governance traditions that AI systems, typically built on generalised models, may not adequately accommodate. Engaging with community leaders and integrating indigenous knowledge into AI tools is essential. Moreover, AI applications must uphold the principles outlined in international declarations like the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) [8], ensuring respect for cultural heritage and land sovereignty. Rajagopal [15] emphasises how environmental law intersects with indigenous rights, cautioning against legal frameworks that overlook the cultural significance of land in favor of resource exploitation.

D. Addressing Legal and Ethical Gaps

For AI to be a beneficial tool in tribal land governance, there must be clear legal and ethical frameworks guiding its use. Policymakers should develop guidelines that emphasise transparency, accountability, and cultural sensitivity.

Investments in data collection should prioritise inclusivity, involving tribal communities to ensure that accurate and representative data inform AI systems. Furthermore, capacity building within these communities is crucial, providing training and resources to bridge the digital divide and empower tribal leaders to engage with AI technologies effectively.

Robust oversight mechanisms are needed to monitor the deployment of AI and ensure alignment with legal safeguards like the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act (PESA) [20] and the Forest Rights Act (FRA) [19]. Additionally, policies should mandate periodic audits of AI systems to identify and rectify any biases or errors that could undermine tribal land rights.

In summary, while AI has the potential to transform tribal land governance in India, it must be implemented within the existing legal framework in a manner that enhances, rather than erodes, tribal rights. By prioritising ethical considerations, community engagement, and alignment with constitutional and statutory protections, AI can become a tool for empowerment, supporting sustainable and culturally sensitive governance practices.

VII. INTEGRATING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTO TRIBAL LAND GOVERNANCE: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into tribal land governance presents a paradox: it offers unprecedented opportunities for modernisation while simultaneously posing risks to cultural and social structures.

A. Opportunities for Modernisation

AI technologies have the potential to revolutionise land governance by enhancing accuracy and transparency. Automated mapping and data analysis tools can provide precise land boundary definitions, helping to resolve disputes that have persisted for decades. By streamlining the management of land records, AI can make

administrative processes more efficient and less prone to corruption [19]. Additionally, AI can facilitate the implementation of the Forest Rights Act (FRA) [19] by providing reliable, up-to-date information on forest resources and aiding in the verification of claims. However, these opportunities must be balanced with cultural considerations, discussed in detail through examples in Section 10.

B. Challenges of Implementation

Despite these advancements, AI's application in tribal land governance comes with significant challenges. The quality of data used to train AI systems is a major concern. Many tribal regions lack comprehensive and updated land records, and AI systems reliant on flawed data can produce inaccurate results. The risk of disenfranchisement becomes real if AI technologies fail to consider the nuances of tribal land use and governance traditions.

Another critical challenge is the digital divide. Tribal areas often lack the infrastructure and technical expertise necessary for AI deployment. Without capacity-building initiatives and inclusive design processes, AI solutions may marginalise communities further. Ensuring that tribal voices are integral to AI's development and deployment is crucial for fostering trust and equity.

C. Ethical and Cultural Implications

AI's impact on tribal societies extends beyond administrative efficiency; it touches on the very essence of cultural and social identity. The ethical considerations of implementing AI include ensuring that traditional knowledge and practices are respected. The potential for AI to disrupt social cohesion and traditional governance systems requires careful consideration to avoid eroding the cultural fabric that has sustained these communities for generations.

By adopting an ethical and inclusive approach, AI can become a tool for empowerment rather than a means of marginalisation. As discussed in the Case Studies section, successful AI integration depends on continuous collaboration with tribal communities and a commitment to cultural preservation.

D. Policy Recommendations

To harness the benefits of AI while mitigating its challenges, a comprehensive approach is required that emphasises data accuracy, community involvement, and ethical practices. Firstly, investing in data collection initiatives that are inclusive and involve tribal communities is crucial. These initiatives should ensure that the data gathered is both accurate and reflective of the realities on the ground, thus minimising the risk of biased AI outcomes.

Additionally, there is a pressing need to build capacity among both tribal communities and local officials. Providing training and resources will help bridge the digital divide and empower these communities to utilise AI tools effectively, ensuring that technological advancements do not marginalise them further.

Furthermore, meaningful community engagement is vital in the development and implementation of AI systems. Tribal communities must be involved at every stage, from design to deployment, to ensure that AI applications align with their cultural practices and genuinely address their needs. This

collaborative approach can help foster trust and create solutions that are both culturally sensitive and effective.

Lastly, establishing robust ethical guidelines for the use of AI in land governance is essential. These guidelines should prioritise transparency, accountability, and respect for cultural diversity, ensuring that AI technologies are used responsibly and do not erode the cultural heritage of tribal communities.

VIII. AI'S IMPACT ON CULTURAL AND SOCIAL DIMENSIONS OF TRIBAL COMMUNITIES

The impact of AI on the cultural and social dimensions of tribal communities in India is profound and multifaceted. As technology reshapes governance and land management practices, it also poses significant risks to cultural heritage and social cohesion. Drawing from the philosophical insights of Hannah Arendt [12], who warned about the depersonalisation and automation of human experiences, we must question whether AI solutions are stripping away the essence of tribal identity in favour of sterile efficiency.

A. Cultural Erosion and Identity

The integration of AI into land governance has raised alarms about the potential erosion of tribal culture and identity. Edward Said's concept of cultural hegemony [13] is particularly relevant here. Just as colonial powers imposed their norms on indigenous populations, AI systems risk imposing a "digital hegemony" by prioritising data-driven solutions over community-driven practices. For instance, AI-driven land assessments may overlook sacred and culturally significant sites that cannot be adequately represented in datasets, thereby erasing important aspects of tribal heritage.

At the same time, Amartya Sen's work on capabilities [7] reminds us that development should expand people's freedoms and choices. If AI is to serve tribal communities, it must empower them rather than restrict their agency. This could mean using AI to document and preserve Indigenous languages and oral histories, turning technology into a tool for cultural preservation rather than erosion. However, this requires a nuanced approach that respects the wisdom embedded in traditional practices.

B. Social Cohesion and Community Governance

Tribal societies are built on principles of social cohesion and communal governance. Jean-Jacques Rousseau's idea of the "general will" [14] resonates with the way tribal communities make decisions collectively. AI, by nature, operates on algorithms that prioritise efficiency and optimisation, which may conflict with the deliberative and participatory processes central to tribal governance. The question then becomes: Can AI be adapted to respect and even enhance these communal practices, or will it fragment social bonds by introducing external, impersonal systems?

Moreover, Michel Foucault's writings on surveillance and power [15] are crucial in understanding the social implications of AI in tribal areas. AI technologies, especially those used for monitoring land use, can create a sense of constant surveillance, potentially undermining the trust and autonomy of tribal communities. This "digital

panopticon” could exacerbate existing inequalities, making communities feel subjugated rather than empowered. As Foucault argued, the mere presence of surveillance changes behaviour, and in the case of tribal societies, it could alter traditional ways of interacting with the land and each other.

C. Resistance and Adaptation

Despite these challenges, tribal communities have shown remarkable resilience and adaptability. Drawing on James Scott’s concept of “weapons of the weak” [16], we see how indigenous groups employ everyday forms of resistance to maintain control over their land and culture. AI must be designed in a way that supports this resistance, offering tools that communities can use to assert their rights rather than subjugate them further. For example, AI could help map land in ways that reinforce community claims or provide platforms for documenting violations of land rights.

D. Ethical Implications and Philosophical Reflections

The ethical implications of using AI in tribal land governance cannot be overstated. As Immanuel Kant posited [17], human beings should always be treated as ends in themselves and never as means to an end. Applying this principle to AI, any technological intervention must prioritise the well-being and dignity of tribal communities. This means involving them in the development and implementation of AI systems, ensuring that their voices are not just heard but are central to the process.

In conclusion, the cultural and social dimensions of AI in tribal governance must be considered with the utmost care [23]. Respect for traditions, community cohesion, and cultural heritage should guide the deployment of AI tools [24]. By adopting an ethical, inclusive, and participatory approach, technology can serve as an enabler of cultural preservation and community empowerment rather than a disruptor [25].

IX. TECHNOLOGY, LAND RIGHTS, AND EMPOWERMENT

AI has the potential to revolutionise tribal land governance in India, but its impact must be carefully managed to ensure it aligns with the cultural values and social structures of tribal communities. This section explores how AI can both empower and challenge these communities, focusing on key opportunities and concerns.

A. Potential for Empowerment

AI technologies can be a powerful tool for protecting and managing tribal lands. For instance, machine learning algorithms can assist in precise land mapping, which helps tribes assert their rights and defend against encroachment.

Drawing from Amartya Sen’s capabilities approach [7], AI should be used to expand the freedoms and opportunities available to tribal communities rather than limiting them. For example, AI can help document traditional practices and preserve indigenous languages, ensuring that cultural knowledge is passed on to future generations. AI-driven platforms can also enable tribes to monitor their land boundaries and detect encroachments more efficiently, strengthening their autonomy and resource management capabilities.

B. Risks to Autonomy and Cultural Integrity

Despite its benefits, AI also poses significant risks. David Harvey’s critique of neoliberalism [13] reminds us that technology often serves powerful interests. In the context of tribal land governance, AI could be misused to fast-track land acquisitions or favour commercial interests at the expense of tribal rights. Automated systems may fail to account for the cultural and spiritual significance of tribal land, leading to decisions that erode the social fabric and autonomy of these communities. Without adequate safeguards, the deployment of AI risks becoming a tool of dispossession rather than empowerment.

C. Building Inclusive AI Systems

To ensure AI serves as a force for good, it must be co-developed with tribal communities. Jean-Jacques Rousseau’s ideas about community decision-making [14] resonate here. Tribal leaders and members must be actively involved in the design and implementation of AI solutions to ensure that these technologies are adaptable to local contexts and do not undermine communal governance structures. This inclusive approach can foster trust and ensure that AI applications genuinely benefit tribal populations.

D. Balancing Development and Preservation

A key challenge lies in balancing development objectives with cultural preservation. Frantz Fanon’s work [14] highlights the tension between modernisation and the preservation of indigenous identities. AI technologies must be deployed in a manner that supports sustainable development without compromising the cultural and spiritual values of tribal communities. This requires careful planning, inclusive governance, and robust ethical frameworks that prioritise the well-being and autonomy of tribal populations.

In conclusion, while AI offers significant opportunities to empower tribal communities and strengthen their land rights, it must be implemented with caution. Ensuring that AI systems are inclusive, culturally sensitive, and aligned with tribal values is critical for creating a future where technology uplifts rather than marginalises.

X. PRACTICAL CASE STUDIES AND EXAMPLES OF AI IN TRIBAL LAND GOVERNANCE

To understand the real-world implications of integrating AI into tribal land governance, it is essential to look at practical examples and case studies where AI has been implemented or proposed. These cases shed light on both the successes and challenges of using technology in this sensitive context.

A. Digital Land Records and Mapping in Odisha

In Odisha, a state with a significant tribal population, AI technology has been employed to digitise and streamline land records under the Digital India Land Records Modernisation Programme (DILRMP) [19]. AI-powered tools help map land boundaries and monitor encroachments using satellite imagery and machine learning algorithms. While this has increased efficiency, it has also raised concerns about data accuracy and the potential exclusion of traditional land claims not well-documented in existing records. Involving



local communities in verifying these records has been a key factor in ensuring the technology serves their interests.

B. AI in Forest Rights Act (FRA) Implementation

The FRA of 2006 [19] is critical in recognising the rights of forest-dwelling tribes over their ancestral lands. AI tools have been tested in Maharashtra to assist in mapping community forest resources and streamlining the claim verification process. AI software can analyse satellite images to assess forest cover, helping to identify areas where rights claims are valid. However, the challenge lies in ensuring that AI-driven decisions do not override human judgment, particularly when dealing with culturally significant land. Ground verification and collaboration with tribal communities remain essential.

C. AI-Assisted Land Dispute Resolution

AI-driven platforms have been explored to expedite land dispute resolution by analysing legal documents and identifying patterns in case law. For example, some AI tools have been developed to interpret land-related legal conflicts and provide insights that reduce case backlogs. However, their effectiveness in tribal areas depends on their ability to incorporate customary laws and tribal governance practices. Ensuring that AI respects the nuances of tribal legal frameworks is crucial for its success.

D. Lessons Learned from AI Applications

The application of AI in tribal land governance has highlighted several key lessons:

1. **Community Participation:** Projects involving tribal communities in the design and implementation phases have achieved greater cultural sensitivity and trust.
2. **Data Inclusivity:** AI systems require comprehensive and accurate datasets to function effectively. Incomplete or biased data risks disenfranchising tribal communities.
3. **Capacity Building:** Providing training and resources for tribal communities and local officials is critical to bridging the digital divide and ensuring equitable access to AI tools.

In conclusion, while AI has demonstrated its potential to improve land governance processes, its application in tribal contexts must prioritise inclusivity, transparency, and cultural preservation. These lessons from existing initiatives underscore the importance of ethical and community-driven approaches in deploying AI for tribal land governance.

XI. POLICY AND GOVERNANCE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AI INTEGRATION IN TRIBAL LAND GOVERNANCE

To fully realise the potential benefits of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in tribal land governance while mitigating risks, a set of well-defined policy and governance strategies must be implemented. These recommendations aim to ensure that AI is deployed ethically and inclusively to empower tribal communities rather than marginalise them.

A. Community-Centric AI Development

AI systems used in tribal land governance must be developed with direct input from the communities they are designed to serve. Tribal leaders, elders, and members should be involved in the design, testing, and implementation phases. This participatory approach ensures that AI tools are

culturally sensitive and respect local customs and governance structures. Building AI systems that incorporate indigenous knowledge and address community-specific needs will help build trust and promote equitable outcomes.

B. Legal Safeguards and Data Privacy

The legal framework governing AI in tribal land governance must include robust safeguards to protect data privacy and ensure accountability. Tribal communities have a right to data sovereignty, meaning they should control and manage data collected about their land and resources. Legislation should also specify the ethical use of AI, with clear regulations on data security and consent. Incorporating data protection measures will help prevent misuse of information and guard against surveillance practices that could undermine tribal autonomy [8].

C. Education and Capacity Building

Investing in education and training is essential for empowering tribal communities to engage with AI technology effectively. Workshops and capacity-building programs can equip community members with the knowledge needed to use AI tools, understand their rights, and participate in decision-making processes. Local officials and policymakers also need training to implement AI solutions ethically and efficiently. These efforts can bridge the digital divide and ensure that AI empowers rather than marginalises tribal communities.

D. Continuous Monitoring and Ethical Oversight

The implementation of AI must be subject to continuous monitoring and ethical oversight. Independent oversight bodies, including tribal representatives, should regularly evaluate the impact of AI technologies, provide recommendations for improvements, and hold developers accountable for ethical lapses. Ethical frameworks should be flexible and evolve to address the changing needs of tribal communities and technological advancements.

E. Balancing Development and Cultural Preservation

The ultimate goal of integrating AI into tribal land governance should be to balance economic development with cultural preservation. AI policies must reflect this balance, ensuring that development projects do not come at the cost of tribal identity or land rights. Promoting AI tools that support sustainable practices and environmental conservation can further align technological advancement with the values and well-being of tribal communities.

In conclusion, these policy recommendations emphasise the importance of inclusivity, transparency, and cultural sensitivity in deploying AI for tribal land governance. By adopting these measures, AI can serve as a tool for empowerment, supporting the protection and recognition of tribal land rights in a manner that is both just and equitable.

XII. CONCLUSION: THE PATH FORWARD FOR AI AND TRIBAL LAND GOVERNANCE

The journey of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into tribal land governance in India is marked by immense potential and significant challenges. While AI offers transformative

Echoes of Ancestry in the Age of AI: Safeguarding Tribal Land Rights Amid a Digital Revolution

opportunities to make land administration more efficient and transparent, it also risks cultural erosion, loss of autonomy, and potential misuse if not implemented carefully.

This paper has demonstrated that AI can empower tribal communities by protecting their land rights, facilitating accurate and accessible land records, and offering tools for monitoring and managing their resources. However, the benefits of AI cannot be fully realised without acknowledging and addressing its risks. They are ensuring that tribal voices and traditional knowledge guide the implementation process is critical to aligning AI solutions with the unique cultural and social dynamics of tribal communities.

Robust legal safeguards, data sovereignty, and ethical oversight must be at the core of AI policies. By prioritising transparency, accountability, and respect for cultural diversity, AI can enhance the self-governance and resilience of tribal communities while preserving their rich cultural heritage. Education and capacity-building initiatives are also essential to empower tribal communities to engage with AI technologies and make informed decisions about their use. Moving forward, the integration of AI in tribal land governance must be a collaborative effort involving policymakers, technologists, tribal leaders, and civil society organisations. Together, these stakeholders can create a future where technology serves as a tool for empowerment rather than a means of marginalisation.

As Amartya Sen [7] reminds us, development is about expanding freedoms and choices, not merely achieving economic growth. Similarly, Rousseau's ideas on participatory decision-making [14] echo the need to respect the governance structures that have defined tribal societies for generations. By embracing these principles, we can ensure that AI contributes to justice, equity, and cultural preservation, creating a future where no community is left behind in the pursuit of progress.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- **Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests:** Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- **Funding Support:** This article has not been funded by any organizations or agencies. This independence ensures that the research is conducted with objectivity and without any external influence.
- **Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate:** The content of this article does not necessitate ethical approval or consent to participate with supporting documentation.
- **Data Access Statement and Material Availability:** The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- **Authors Contributions:** The authorship of this article is contributed solely.

REFERENCES

1. Constitution of India, Articles 244 and 275. (1949). India Code. Retrieved from <https://indiacode.nic.in/>
2. Scheduled Tribes of India and their constitutional safeguards. (2020). *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 25(12), 5–8. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-2512100508>

3. Banik, N. (2022). Assessing the compliance of the Local Self-Governance Act for PESA in India. *Journal of Rural Development*, 41(3), 345–360. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.25175/jrd/2022/v41/i3/173020>
4. Jha, N. K., & Sharma, R. (2019). Land governance in Fifth Schedule Areas: A critical analysis of FRA and PESA implementation. *Journal of Land and Rural Studies*, 9(1), 23–38. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/23210249211051438>
5. Parameswaran, R. (2015). Judicial protection of tribal land rights in India: An analysis of Samatha judgment. *Indian Law Review*, 3(1), 35–57. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/24730580.2015.1111530>
6. Agarwal, S. (2014). Compensation under the LARR Act: Issues and analysis. *Land Use Policy*, 42, 20–28. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.06.012>
7. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198297580.001.0001>
8. Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203820563>
9. Rousseau, J.-J. (1762). *The social contract*. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/osco/instance.00066178>
10. Foucault, M. (1975). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison*. Gallimard. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1086/ahr/83.2.546>
11. Scott, J. C. (1985). *Weapons of the weak: Everyday forms of peasant resistance*. Yale University Press. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2802221>
12. Arendt, H. (1958). *The human condition*. University of Chicago Press. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226586745.001.000>
13. Harvey, D. (2005). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford University Press. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199283262.001.0001>
14. Fanon, F. (1961). *The wretched of the earth*. Grove Press. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470755167.ch12>
15. Rajagopal, B. (2014). Environmental law and indigenous rights in India. *International Environmental Law Review*, 21(2), 98–112. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/iel/987>
16. Anaya, J. (2013). Indigenous peoples in international law. *American Society of International Law*, 107(2), 412–420. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.5301/anj-2013-1072>
17. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2018). AI policy frameworks: Indian strategy in focus. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 52(5), 723–745. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-018-9624>
18. Department of Land Resources. (n.d.). *Digital India Land Records Modernisation Programme (DILRMP)*. Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India. Retrieved from <https://dolr.gov.in/programmes-schemes/dilrmp-2/>
19. Forest Rights Act, 2006 (FRA). (2006). India Code. Retrieved from <https://indiacode.nic.in/>
20. Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 (PESA). (1996). India Code. Retrieved from <https://indiacode.nic.in/>
21. Yavana Rani, S, Muthu Kumar, N, Geetha, V, Measurement Model of Visitors' Intention to Visit Cultural Heritage Site. (2019). In *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering* (Vol. 8, Issue 12S2, pp. 153–159). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijtee.I1028.10812s219>
22. Chang-Seek Lee, Ha-Young Jang, The Effects of the Local Culture Status and an Individual Cultural Capacity on Cultural Participation: Moderated Mediation Effect of Growth Mindset. (2019). In *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering* (Vol. 8, Issue 2S6, pp. 285–289). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.b1054.0782s619>
23. Alehegn, D. S., Dr. R. Karunakara, & Engeda, B. (2024). Community Advancement in Community Policing Within the Addis Ababa City Organization. In *International Journal of Management and Humanities* (Vol. 10, Issue 10, pp. 15–21). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijmh.i1710.10100624>
24. Muhammad Roy Purwanto, Supriadi, Rahmani Timorita Yulianti, The Use of Entrepreneurship Education in Community Empowerment at Lintangsono Islamic Boarding School of Yogyakarta. (2019). In *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* (Vol. 9, Issue 2, pp. 796–800). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.b3740.129219>
25. Reginio, R. R., Madriño, L. B., & Robles, J. R. (2024). Development and Evaluation of Community Affairs Management Information System for Municipality of Torrijos. In *International Journal of Soft Computing*

and Engineering (Vol. 14, Issue 2, pp. 12–16). Doi:
<https://doi.org/10.35940/ijssce.f8011.14020524>

AUTHOR PROFILE



Olivia Ruhil, is a second-year Master's student in Public Policy at the School of Public Policy, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi. She holds an LLM in Human Rights and Constitutional Law and is a trained lawyer. Olivia's research focuses on integrating technological innovations, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and large language models, into governance systems, particularly within the legal domain. Her work also explores Tribal Land Rights, Ethical AI Practices, and safeguarding the rights of indigenous communities. With a strong foundation in law and human rights, Olivia brings a unique perspective to addressing ethical and cultural considerations in AI-driven governance while emphasising sustainable policy implementation.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/ or the editor(s). The Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.